# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

# PCT

Y	REC'D	3	0	MAR	2006
	WIPO				PCT

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

P021005WO International application No. International		FOR FURTHER ACTION See Form PCT/IPEA/416					
		International filing dat 29.03.2005	e (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 29.03.2004			
	rnational Patent Class J. H04Q7/22	sification (IPC) or	national classification and	IPC			
• •	olicant FELLPROP LIMIT	ED et al					
1.	This report is the Authority under A	international p Article 35 and tr	reliminary examination ansmitted to the applica	report, established b ant according to Artic	y this International Preliminary Examining le 36.		
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 8 sheets, including this cover sheet.						
3.	This report is als	o accompanied	by ANNEXES, compris	sing:			
	a. $\boxtimes$ sent to the	e applicant and	to the International Bu	reau) a total of 2 sh	eets, as follows:		
	and/o		ning rectifications author		en amended and are the basis of this report ty (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the		
	beyo				considers contain an amendment that goes indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the		
	seguence	listing and/or ta		celectronic form onl	umber of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a y, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Instructions).		
4.	This report conta	ins indications	relating to the following	ı items:			
	⊠ Box No. I	Basis of the re	port				
	☐ Box No. II	Priority	•				
	☐ Box No. III	•	ment of opinion with re	gard to novelty, inver	ntive step and industrial applicability		
	☐ Box No. IV	Lack of unity of	of invention				
	⊠ Box No. V		tement under Article 35 itations and explanatio		velty, inventive step or industrial tatement		
	☐ Box No. VI	Certain docun	nents cited				
	☐ Box No. VII	Certain defect	s in the international ap	plication			
	☐ Box No. VIII	Certain observ	ations on the internation	onal application			
	e of submission of the	demand		Date of completion	of this report		
					•		
27.01.2006				29.03.2006	•		
Name and mailing address of the international				Authorized officer	anes Patanta.		
preliminary examining authority:  European Patent Office  D-80298 Munich				Möll, H-P	· storage of the factor of the		
	Tel. +49 89 Fax: +49 8	9 2399 - 0 Tx: 520 9 2399 - 4465	3656 epmu d	Telephone No. +49	89 2399-8243		

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/GB2005/001227

	Box No. I Basis of the report						
1.		th regard to the <b>language</b> , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was d, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
	which is the language of a tr  international search (und  publication of the internat						
2.	With regard to the <b>elements</b> * of the international application, this report is based on <i>(replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):</i>						
	Description, Pages						
	1-8	as originally filed					
	Claims, Numbers						
	1-8	received on 31.01.2006 with letter of 27.01.2006					
	Drawings, Sheets						
	1/5-5/5	as originally filed					
	☐ a sequence listing and/or an	y related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing					
3.	<ul> <li>□ The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:</li> <li>□ the description, pages</li> <li>□ the claims, Nos.</li> <li>□ the drawings, sheets/figs</li> <li>□ the sequence listing (specify):</li> <li>□ any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):</li> </ul>						
4.	had not been made, since they had not been made, since they had Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c))  the description, pages the claims, Nos. the drawings, sheets/figs the sequence listing (specific any table(s) related to se	<i>cify)</i> : quence listing <i>(specify)</i> :					
	* If itom 1 annline en	me or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded "					

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/GB2005/001227

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-8

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-8

1-8

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2005/001227

#### **Cited Documents**

1. Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US-A-6 108 559 D2: US-A-6 654 589 D3: WO 03/049461 A2

#### Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- A. Clarity (Article 6 PCT):
- 1. dependent Claims 2 and 5: Dependent Claims 2 and 5 lack clarity within the meaning of Article 6 PCT for the following reason:
- 1.1 Both dependent Claims 2 and 5 define that the <u>HLR includes a settable flag for controlling the availability of text message processing</u>. Said subject-matter of Claims 2 and 5 is claimed in combination with that of independent Claims 1 and 4 which both define that the <u>HLR stores subscriber specific information</u> so as to control the availability of text message processing.

Claims 2 and 5 in combination with Claims 1 and 4 thus convey the impression that two pieces of information are used for controlling the availability of text message processing, namely <u>subscriber specific information</u> as well as a <u>settable flag</u>.

This is however not supported in the description, where the use of a single field in the HLR subscriber data record, namely the "class of service field", is disclosed for this purpose.

1.2 It would have been thus necessary to clearly define in said dependent Claims 2 and 5 that the <u>subscriber specific information</u> defined in independent Claims 1 and 4

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/GB2005/001227

is implemented as a <u>settable flag</u> stored inthe HLR in order to overcome the clarity objection (Article 6 PCT).

- B. Novelty / Inventive Step (Article 33 PCT):
- 1. independent Claim 1:
- 1.1 It is noted that the document **D3** cited in the application is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of **Claim 1** and shows a "telecommunications service apparatus for use in a mobile telecommunications network" (**D3**, Figs. 3 and 4: "SMS Router"; page 1, line 29 page 2, line 6; Claim 1) with the following features as defined in **Claim 1** of the present invention:
  - processing means for processing received text messages (D3, Claim 1; page 6, lines 14-20)
  - call routing means operable to receive a routing information request signal indicative of a text message intended for a mobile terminal (D3, Claim 1; page 5, lines 19-28; Fig.3)
  - call routing means operable to return a routing information response signal indicative of the telecommunications service apparatus instead of being indicative of the intended mbile terminal (D3, Claim 1; page 5, lines 28-32; Fig.3)
- 1.2 The <u>telecommunications service apparatus</u> defined in present **Claim 1** appears to differ from the disclosure of document **D3** only in that **D3** does not explicitly show that the <u>call routing means is operable to control the availability of processing of the text message based on subscriber specific information [1] and <u>that this subscriber specific information is stored in a HLR of the network</u> [2].</u>
- 1.3 It would be clear to the person skilled in the art that the <u>telecommunications service</u> <u>apparatus</u> known from **D3** suffers from the <u>drawback</u> that the text message **diversion** and processing functions can not be selectively offered to subscribers. From the disclosure of document **D3** it appears that **either all or none** of the routing information request signals are "intercepted" and **diverted** for subsequent

processing by the telecommunications service apparatus.

- 1.4 In consulting the prior art, the skilled person would come across documents **D1** and/or **D2**, which both disclose a similar technique for **diversion and processing** of mobile terminated short text messages.
  - Both documents **D1** and **D2** explicitly disclose to selectively activate said **diversion** and processing function for mobile terminated short messages by consulting <u>subscriber specific information</u> ("marked subscribers/flagged subscribers") stored in the HLR of the subscriber. Reference is made to *D1*, col.5, lines 24-30 and *D2*, col.5, lines 4-8. Both documents **D1** and **D2** thus disclose features [1] and [2] of independent **Claim 1** as identified above under item 1.2.
- 1.5 To a skilled person, therefore, starting from the <u>telecommunications service</u> <u>apparatus</u> defined by **D3** and being aware of the disclosure of **D1** or **D2**, it would be obvious to apply the approach described in **D1** or **D2** to the <u>telecommunications</u> <u>service apparatus</u> of **D3**, in order to allow subscriber selective activation of the <u>diversion and processing</u> function and he would thus arrive at a <u>telecommunications service apparatus</u> corresponding to the subject-matter of **Claim 1**.
  - The subject-matter of Claim 1 therefore lacks an inventive step within the meaning of Article 33(3) PCT.
- 1.6 It is in addition noted that documents **D1** and **D2** do no longer prejudice **novelty** (Article 33(2) PCT) of independent **Claim 1**, since **Claim 1** explicitly defines that the **diversion function**, the **control of availability of the processing function** as well as the **processing function** are all carried out by the same entity, namely by the <u>telecommunications service apparatus</u>.
  - In documents **D1** and **D2** it is the HLR which carries out the **diversion function** and it is a different entity (**D1**: "SMSC-B"; **D2**: "Legal Interception Node-LIN") to which the text message is sent for further **processing**.

Independent Claim 1 is thus novel vis-à-vis both documents D1 and D2 (Article 33(2) PCT).

- 2. dependent Claims 2 and 3:
- 2.1 The additional features of dependent Claims 2 and 3 do not add anything of inventive significance (Article 33(3) PCT) to those claims to which they are appended, as they refer only to minor implementing details which are disclosed in or obviously derivable from the cited prior art references D1, D2 or D3 or fall within the general knowledge of a person skilled in the art:
- (a) **Claim 2**:

**D1**, col.5, lines 24-30 **D2**, col.5, lines 4-8

(b) Claim 3:

D3, page 6, lines 17-20
D1, col.3, lines 31-37 and 48-53; "... media conversion, ... copying and distribution ..."
D2, col. 5, lines 57-59; "... a short message SM is sent to the LIN which forwards it to the SGSN and sends a copy thereof to the LEA ..."; Fig.3: "step 3-9"

- 2.2 The dependent Claims 2 and 3 do thus not meet the requirements of Article 33(3) PCT.
- 3. independent Claim 4 and dependent Claims 5 and 6:
- The features of independent Claim 4 and dependent Claims 5 and 6 ("telecommunications services method") correspond to the features of independent Claim 1 and dependent Claims 2 and 3("telecommunications service apparatus").
- The arguments concerning **novelty** (Article 33(2) PCT) and **inventive step** (Article 33(3) PCT) as set out above regarding **Claims 1-3** therefore equally apply to said **Claims 4-6** respectively, which do thus also not meet the requirements of Article 33(3) PCT.
- 4. re Claims 7 and 8:

#### International application No.

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/GB2005/001227

The features of independent Claims 7 and 8 ("computer program" and "computer program product") correspond to the features of independent Claims 4-6 ("method"). Independent Claims 7 and 8 do not provide any additional technical features. The arguments concerning novelty (Article 33(2) PCT) and inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) as set out above regarding Claims 4-6 therefore equally apply to said Claims 7 and 8, which do thus also not meet the requirements of Article 33(3) PCT.

## C. Further Deficiencies / Defects:

- 1. The independent claims are not draft in the two-part form in accordance with Rule 6.3(b) PCT, which in the present case would be appropriate, with those features known in combination from the prior art document **D3** being placed in a preamble (Rule 6.3(b)(l) PCT) and with the remaining features being included in a characterising part (Rule 6.3(b)(ii) PCT).
- 2. Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1.(a) (ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the documents **D1** and **D2** noted above is not mentioned in the description, nor are these documents identified therein.
- 3. The opening part of the description has not been brought into agreement with the wording of the Claims (Rule 5.1 (a) (iii) PCT).

5

10

#### **CLAIMS**

1. A telecommunications services apparatus for use in a mobile telecommunications network, the apparatus comprising:

processing means for processing received text messages; and call routing means operable:

to receive a routing information request signal indicative of a text message intended for a mobile terminal;

to return a routing information response signal indicative of the telecommunications services apparatus instead of being indicative of the intended mobile terminal; and

to control availability of processing of the text message based on subscriber specific information;

wherein the network includes a home location register storing said subscriber specific information so as to control the availability of text message processing.

- 2. Apparatus according to claim 1, wherein the home location register includes a settable flag for controlling the availability of the text message processing.
- 20 3. Apparatus according to claim 1 or claim 2, wherein the text message processing includes copying and/or diversion of the text message, interception of the text message, providing location privacy, anti-spam protection, access to diverted messages, and/or access to messages from other messaging systems.
- 4. A telecommunications services method for a mobile telecommunications network, the method comprising:

receiving in a telecommunications services apparatus a routing information request signal indicative of a text message intended for a mobile terminal;

returning a routing information response signal indicative of the telecommunications services apparatus instead of being indicative of the intended mobile terminal; and

5

controlling availability of processing of the text message based on subscriber specific information;

wherein the network includes a home location register storing said subscriber specific information so as to control the availability of text message processing.

- 5. A method according to claim 4, wherein the home location register includes a settable flag for controlling the availability of the text message processing.
- 6. A method according to claim 4 or claim 5, wherein the text message processing includes copying and/or diversion of the text message, interception of the text message, providing location privacy, anti-spam protection, access to diverted messages, and/or access to messages from other messaging systems.
- 7. A computer program having computer executable instructions, which when loaded on to a computer is operable to cause the computer to perform the method according to any one of claims 4 to 6.
- 8. A computer program product having a computer readable medium having recorded thereon information signals representative of the computer program according to claim 7.